CHAPTER 18

Language Tug-of-War: When English Literacy Education Encounters the National Matriculation English Test Policy in Mainland China

Fang He and Mark Feng Teng

INTRODUCTION

In China, great advancements in various fields have brought opportunities and challenges to the development of education. As a core subject, the assessment of English has been adjusted several times in terms of content and question types, thus meeting university admission requirements and reforms of high school English literacy education. Very recently, a National People’s Congress (NPC) member proposed that the National Matriculation English Test (NMET) should be canceled (Xinhua News, 2017). It has been argued that Chinese students have spent too much
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time and energy learning English, after which they have failed to use this language well even after more than ten years of learning (Teng, 2018). Being inseparable from national political orientation, the National Matriculation English Test (NMET) policy has introduced both challenges and opportunities to English education at the secondary level.

English was once promoted as being essential to the modernization of China by policy makers as China grew into a multilingual country since its opening-up in the 1970s (Lam, 2002). What may occur to NMET policy has aroused significant influence on the whole education system in China, as students across the country participate in different training centers aiming for an advantage in English learning (Wei & Su, 2012). The popularity of English in China indicates that in addition to policy makers, other stakeholders, including teachers and students, should be involved in NMET policy making (Kaplan, Baldauf & Kamwangamalu, 2011; Muthanna & Sang, 2016). For instance, students’ perceptions should count as they are the NMET takers. In addition, teachers’ perceptions of the NMET reform also matter as they are the course planners and implementers. Given the lack of studies related to this, this chapter focused on the impact of NMET reform on teaching and learning English in mainland China. The present study attempted to address the following research questions: (1) What are teachers’ and learners’ perceptions toward the NMET policy reform? (2) How does the NMET policy reform affect English literacy teaching and learning in mainland China?

**English Literacy Education at the Secondary Level in Mainland China**

The term “literacy,” one of the key educational objectives of compulsory schooling, is generally defined as the ability to read and write to an appropriate level of fluency (Teng, 2019). Before the 1980s, according to the National Literacy Strategy, English literacy teaching focused more on intensive learning and grammatical structure of this language (Li, 1990) while less emphasis was placed on the linguistic competence (namely, speaking, listening, reading, and writing), not to mention communicative skills (Harvey, 1985). Entering the year 2000, two official documents, English Curriculum Standards for Compulsory Education (MOE, 2011) and Full-time Compulsory Education Standard of English Course for Senior Middle School (Experimental Draft) (MOE, 2007),
depict a vivid picture of English literacy education at the secondary level (hereafter named as the Standards). As stipulated by the MOE (2011), the fundamental English literacy education scope at the secondary level mainly focuses on language competence (see Fig. 18.1, translated by the authors).

Understood from the figure, the general goal of an English course at the secondary level is to develop the learner’s competence to comprehensively apply the language based on the overall development of language skills, language knowledge, emotional attitudes, learning strategies, and cultural awareness. Moreover, language competence is rated in nine levels stipulated in the Standards, with level 1 at the top and level 9 at the bottom (see Fig. 18.2). Different academic grades have differently specific
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description of teaching objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>The students are able to do something under the teacher’s command in simple English, including playing games, colorizing, role play, and understanding short English stories with the help of pictures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>The students are able to do some communicative activities about daily life, including greetings, sharing family members or friends’ information; understand and tell a simple English story with the help of pictures; sing English songs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The students are able to understand some paragraphs about familiar topics or brief stories by listening, oral communication or in written form; describe something in simple English.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>The students are able to understand dialogues stories about daily life; describe one’s own or other’s experience and express one’s own opinions in simple English; comprehend short passages of general literary forms or corresponding articles from English papers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 5</td>
<td>The students are able to understand familiar topics by listening and participating in discussions; communicate with others and express one’s own ideas; obtain the general information of corresponding reading materials, newspapers and articles despite the new words; adopt some reading strategies; write a short passage based on the given outline; cooperate with others to finish learning tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 6</td>
<td>The students are able to understand an idea conveyed in oral or written materials and express one’s own opinions; effectively describe one’s personal experiences in oral or written form; plan and implement learning activities with the help of teachers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 7</td>
<td>The students are able to raise questions and elaborate on one’s own opinions and suggestions; comprehend simplified versions of original English readings and newspapers; write some practical short passages (e.g. letter of invitation); plan and participate in some language-related field activities under the teachers’ guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 8</td>
<td>The students are able to have brief communications with native English speakers; elaborate on some evaluative opinions; write cohesively, well-structured, short essays; autonomously plan and implement various practical language activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 9</td>
<td>The students are able to independently and autonomously plan and implement learning activities; acquire general ideas of familiar topics in speech, discussion, debate or report; elaborate on one’s own opinions in English about the problems that people all over the world are concerned about (e.g., environment problems); grasp every possible moment in real life situations to communicate in English; widely read science essays and literary works with the help of a dictionary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 18.2 The goal of language competence rated in nine levels (adapted and translated by the authors)

curricula to help the students achieve English literacy education at the stipulated level, and the learners are supposed to achieve level 8 upon senior middle school graduation (the time to take NMET). The NMET
Syllabus for the Year 2018 revealed the goal of assessing a learner’s language competence in listening, reading, writing, and speaking, while being consistent with the general scope of English education at the secondary level (National Education Examination Authority [NEEA], 2017).

Snapshot of the NMET Policy
This section delineates the reform of the NMET policy. As a core subject of Gaokao (National Higher Education Entrance Examination), NMET is the college entrance English exam administered in the People’s Republic of China, aiming to assess the Gaokao candidates’ English language ability. On the road to better meet the demand of Gaokao reform and conform to the purpose of English education, the NMET has undergone ten tremendous revolutionary reforms in terms of question type, test format, number of questions as well as the weight given to English scores as one part of the Gaokao (Liu, 2017).

In 1977, when the MOE announced the renewal of the Gaokao, only candidates who selected English as a major were required to take the English test (named as the NMET afterward). At that time, the exam paper was stipulated by provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities. In 1978, question types were nationally designed and standardized, but the score was not counted as part of the total Gaokao score and only served as a reference. In 1979, the MOE announced that English language scores would gradually be counted in the total Gaokao points. However, given the actual English learning and teaching circumstances at the time, only 10% of the English scores were added to the Gaokao total points. The proportion changed to 30% in 1980, 50% in 1981, 70% in 1982, and 100% in 1983. In 1985, the national university entrance English test was renamed the Matriculation English Test (MET), implemented, respectively, in provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities and gradually unified throughout the entire country by 1992. By then, it was formally named the National Matriculation English Test (NMET).

Due to economic development and increasing need for English professionals, the MOE changed marks of the English test to 150 points and English language competence began to be the main focus of assessment in 1991. From 1996 to 1999, importance was attached to candidates’ oral expression ability for the NMET. In 2000, listening comprehension became part of the NMET. However, it took time to be completely implemented throughout the entire country and the year 2012 marked the use of the last NMET without a listening comprehension section. The reduction of students’ burden to study English was a response to a
rising need for Chinese learning; the Beijing Municipal Commission of Education delineated in 2013 that the NMET marks would be decreased from 150 to 100 starting in 2016. Students could also take the English test twice a year, and the highest score would be included in their total Gaokao score. At the same time, students’ scores in first-language learning (Chinese) were adjusted from 150 to 180 points. After encountering fierce public opposition, in 2014, it was decided that the testing marks of Chinese, mathematics, and English would remain the same as before. Thus, since 2017, the testing marks of all three subjects—English, mathematics, and Chinese—have equally been set at 150 points across China. In June 2018, nearly 9.75 million senior high school students took part in NMET of the 2018 Gaokao (Sohu Education, 2018).

The changes in the weight of English in total Gaokao points have reflected the official mindset toward English teaching and learning in China, revealing the prevailing inclination of the education administration system, as English teaching has experienced alternating stages of being emphasized, then deemphasized, and finally reemphasized (Liu, 2016). The reforms of the test contents demonstrated the views of English education held by the policy makers. Changing it from a reference item to a compulsory course in the national college entrance examination confirmed the gradual increase in the position and function of English in China’s national education. However, the aim of effective language teaching in practice cannot be fulfilled by simply increasing or decreasing marks. Whether NMET policy reflects the requirement of the secondary English education syllabus is still an open question.

**NMET Policy Reform and Social Development**

As language education is considered a possible threat to the integrity of the country (Adamson, 2002), it is natural for the policy makers to think carefully when it comes to foreign language education. The history of English education in China has been controversial, and the MOE has been attaching great emphasis to NMET reform. Official evidence of language education reform can be found in the speech given by state councillor Liu (Liu, 2011) in honor of the 10th anniversary of the National General Language Law. The following is a translated excerpt from the speech:

For foreign language learning and use, it should be obvious that it is a necessity to strengthen contacts and exchanges with the rest of the
world; it is also essential to learn advanced science and technology and to absorb the achievements of human civilization. However, regarding the one-sided emphasis on foreign language learning and improper use of foreign languages—especially the phenomenon of neglecting or weakening the learning and use of the mother tongue—necessary corrections should be made. (Liu, 2011, Translated by the authors)

Such statements have acted as two sides of the same coin. The speech could be used as a politically effective weapon for those either advocating English education or calling for reducing the weight of English learning. The choice of a suitable model of bilingual education (Zheng, 2014) arguably appears to be the primary educational issue confronting China today, and it is an issue that the Chinese government needs to deal with. In the era of globalization, the One Belt, One Road initiative urged many Chinese provinces to establish close economic ties with worldwide regions. As the new framework of China’s neighborhood policy, One Belt, One Road emphasizes openness, bilingual education would be a feasible solution as language policy would be influenced by the complex array of historical, cultural-political, social-economic, and practical factors (Bolton & Graddol, 2012; Guo, 2012). However, it seems also to be an open question as to whether NMET policy reformers have considered the balance of bilingual education.

**Methodology**

**Participants**

Five English teachers and eleven students participated in this study in one senior high school in Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. This is a government school. It is a prestigious and well-equipped senior high school. Expressions of interest to participate in the research were illustrated prior to the study. All the students were those who showed willingness to take part in the study, and their consents were obtained. Of the eleven students aged 15 to 18, two were from the first-year class, four second-year, and five third-year. They began to learn English from grade three in primary school, though their English learning experiences as children were mostly in various training centers. Five English teachers also volunteered to take part in this study. All were
certified English teachers, each with a master's degree; three of them had normal university education backgrounds, while one had an overseas education experience. All of them had at least three years teaching experience.

Data Collection

In addition to related document analysis, the current study also included interviews. The interviews were designed to explore the attitudes of the students toward English study, NMET, and their views on the three core subjects of Gaokao. As for the English teachers, their involvement with and perceptions of NMET policy and English teaching were probed. For smooth communication, Mandarin Chinese was the language used in the interviews. Upon consent, the first author took notes while communicating with the participants. Each interview lasted about 40–60 minutes. Some sample questions used during the interviews are listed in the Appendix.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted to analyze the data. First, iterative reading of the transcripts was conducted by the authors to gain a general understanding. More specifically, we paid particular attention to the content related to the participants' perception of NMET policy, the way they learn or teach English, and factors that influence their learning or teaching. Categories were established and used to code the data. Overall, the data analysis process was recursive and repetitive so as to reveal the findings that best help answer the research questions of the present study. Further, the draft of data interpretations was read and commented on by the participants for the sake of member-checking. The practice of member-checking ensures trustworthiness of the findings.

Findings

NMET Policy and English Teaching and Learning

The interviews revealed that most students (6 out of 11) attached great importance to English study as it was related to their university
entrance examination. Learners’ motivation should be taken into con-
ideration when adopting and implementing policies related to the NMET.
Learners’ real demand for English learning is fundamental to policy mak-
ing. For example, one student mentioned,

English is an important subject, not only for the exam, but also for our future
development. We paid a lot of attention to English learning but received
little effects. While we are struggling in English learning, then the change
of NMET policy is not a blessing but a curse to us. Because of the NMET
policy, I lost my motivation in English learning. (Student 2, interview)

Being framed by political, economic, and social forces, the language edu-
cation policy planning in China reflected the influence of a hierarchical
social system with the top leaders being the main decision makers. This
has led teachers into a desperate situation because they were not empow-
ered with any teaching autonomy. Four out of five teachers expressed
negative feelings toward the top-bottom system. For example, one
teacher accounted,

The language education policy is mostly the decisions of the top manage-
ment, like the Ministry of Education and related provincial bureaus and
branches. The policy is like ‘a direction wand’, we, the English teachers,
are the actors upon the go-signal. I am annoyed at hearing that English
teaching or NMET policy is a political mission. English teaching or NMET
policy should be conducted for students’ practical needs. (Teacher 1,
interview)

After being forwarded to the Education Department at provincial and
lower levels, the educational policies, including the NMET policy, were
put into practice in actual teaching activities. However, the English
teachers just acted as the “passive adopters of the official curriculum”
(Leung, 1991, p. 76). Responding to this, three out of five teachers
expressed the lack of autonomy in curriculum implementation. For
example, one teacher said,

I don’t think the stipulated curriculum is suitable to our students. But
we are not allowed to do some modification and use our own way based
on the students’ individual differences. In the end we have to meet the
final goal of the school, which is to help students score higher in NMET.
(Teacher 2, interview)
In terms of English teachers’ perceptions toward policy making, all five teacher interviewees replied that they were “just implementers of the language education policy.” This finding echoes a previous empirical study in which no opportunities for teachers to participate in policy making relating to English language education in primary and secondary schools was found (Li, 2010). The five teachers emphasized the importance for EFL teachers to get involved in the NMET policy making as they are important stakeholders. For example, one teacher said,

NMET policy should not solely be decided by the leaders. We were the main parties who should have a say on this. We were one of the most important parties in English teaching. But policies were made without considering our needs, expectations, and requirements. We were just implementers of the language education policy. (Teacher 3, interview)

**English Education and the Learning of Other Core Subjects**

English had received the highest attention and the greatest reform efforts. Upon analysis of related documents on NMET policy, the original intention of the decision was to reduce the burden on students and relieve the pressures on students, parents, schools, and society brought upon them by the Gaokao. For example, documents related to Beijing Municipal Commission of Education (2013) stated that English education should return to its proper position and concentrate on the practical application of language. This perspective begs the questions: Has English education really been over-addressed? Can it return to its former position by reducing the weight of English scores? In responding to these questions, three teachers expressed their fear of losing their jobs due to the marginalized position of the English subject. For example, one teacher said,

English had much less weekly lessons than other two core subjects, mathematics and Chinese, though English regained the same weight of a core subject alongside them. Does this mean that English is in a marginalized position? Will we lose our jobs? (Teacher 3, interview)

In terms of the students’ attitude on whether English affects the learning of other subjects, 8 out of 11 student participants agreed that English
learning would not affect other subjects, including Chinese education. They agreed on the fact that English should not be marked as an obstacle that impedes candidates from gaining higher scores for other subjects. For example, one student said,

I don’t think English study had negative impact on me, sometimes it acts like “an appetizer”, saving me from the fatigue. Actually, it is a kind of pleasure to review the content of Chinese course in English with my classmates. (Student 5, interview)

Out of five teachers, three expressed that English education should be treated equally to other subjects. They all agreed on the role of English in accelerating China’s opening-up and social modernization. Hence, English education should be treated fairly without either paying it special attention or otherwise belittling it. Reform should focus on investigating practical applications to enable students to attain competence with English and place emphasis on communicating in that language. For example, one teacher stated,

English is an important tool for students to know what is happening around the world. It opens a window for China’s open-up policy. The development of China’s economy, e.g., the One Belt One Road Initiative, means that we do need English. Actually, English is effective at helping other subjects rather than a disaster to the learning of other subjects. (Teacher 5, interview)

Additionally, since differences still exist in receiving education between rural and urban areas (Jia & Ericson, 2017; Qi, 2016), the NMET policy needs to become more humanistic by further promoting social equality and fair opportunities. Two out of five teachers expressed the same sentiment. For example, one teacher said,

Some students were from rural areas and some are children of migrant workers. The unbalanced allocated teaching resources laid them a different foundation, so it is somewhat difficult for them to catch up despite the devotion. The NMET reform should consider this issue. (Teacher 4, interview)
Language Tug-of-War: English and Chinese Literacy Learning

Three teachers expressed that in the era of globalization, culture—as the core of soft power—is becoming more and more significant through its influence on people throughout the world and therefore has started attracting the attention of governments. One reason is that international economic trade is becoming prevalent, further resulting in constant language dispersal and exchange. Therefore, when implementing language promotion, teachers need to pay more attention to promotion of the culture. Thus, teachers believed that it is a common practice in English class to mention relevant Chinese culture as a parallel or comparison. For example, some teachers shared the following opinions,

We agree with the importance of One Belt, One Road initiative. We need to design more assignments for students to illustrate something about China in English, like 'the cultural customs of my hometown'. (Teacher 1, interview)

It is necessary and useful for us to select something else from other sources, like newspapers, and choose some culture-related topics to supplement the teaching, thus gradually cultivating their cross-cultural awareness. (Teacher 3, interview)

The foreign language educational policies in China are often stimulated by the socioeconomic situation and the political agenda of the country (Li, 2007); this is reflected in the position and role of English as a school subject. Three teachers expressed opinions that the position of English had become marginalized because of the increasing priority to the teaching and learning of Chinese. For example, a teacher recalled,

We understand that the emphasis on mother tongue education as well as Chinese culture spreading during recent years might shift the status of English education. Is it necessary to belittle English learning because of the need to learn Chinese? (Teacher 5, interview)

All five teachers agreed that the English test should be designed in a way that reflects the pragmatic function of the English language. They recalled that it worked rather well when the students were trained to use English to narrate the story of China. For example, one teacher commented,
The language competence can be improved when the students try to illustrate Chinese culture in English. English literacy learning was conducive to spreading Chinese culture. (Teacher 1, interview)

According to the teachers, policy makers should pave the way for spreading Chinese culture to the west. Although it is true that more and more people have begun to take notice of Chinese culture, most have become familiar with China from translated English copies of Chinese volumes. Three teachers said that, bearing China’s dream in mind, China needs to adhere to the importance of English education while spreading Chinese culture to the rest of the world. For example, one teacher said,

> English is still considered as a lingua franca. English learning benefits a lot for China on the way of introducing Chinese culture to the international community. (Teacher 4, interview)

**Chinese Learning and English Literacy Education Complement Each Other**

The students recalled how Chinese learning facilitated English study. Six students regarded Chinese as beneficial to English learning. For example, according to the students, there is an indirect influence of Chinese learning on English learning. The students reported,

> I find it is amazing when something I learned in Chinese class pop out in English lectures. It is wonderful when I read the content of Chinese course in English. (Student 9, interview)

> What I’ve learned in Chinese course was somewhat helpful in my English study. It seems that there is a magic bridge connecting both languages. (Student 10, interview)

According to the five teachers, there may be positive transfer of language-related cognitive skills between the first language and the second language when certain thresholds of competence are achieved in both languages. Skills and metalinguistic knowledge acquired in learning one language can be drawn upon when learning another language. Hence, Chinese learning and English education complement each other
as language-specific and language-general knowledge and skills in one language may facilitate learning other language(s). This is evidenced by how the teachers responded,

The knowledge and emotional experience obtained by English language learning enables students to better comprehend and apply their mother tongue. (Teacher 3, interview)

The learning of one’s native language can bring out an individual’s best foreign language learning. Therefore, it is theoretically and practically easier for students with a certain level of native language competence to learn a foreign language. (Teacher 1, interview)

Furthermore, the teachers also said that English language learning has not been determined to have an impact on mother tongue learning but has improved students’ ability to perceive the mother tongue. English literacy learning and Chinese literacy learning are not contradictory. Actually, the teachers said that exposure to English language was found to improve learners’ speed of response and degree of sensitivity during the process of adapting to the different pronunciation and intonation. For example, one teacher said,

Learning foreign languages helped students discover the characteristics in their first language, thus increasing their sensitivity and response to language as well as their language competence, including the different pronunciation and intonation. (Teacher 4, interview)

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

According to teachers, the educational policy in China is inseparable from the political orientation. The NMET policy was influenced by the complex array of historical, cultural-political, social-economic, and practical factors (Bolton & Graddol, 2012; Guo, 2012; Liu, 2016). In addition, learners’ motivation should be taken into consideration when adopting and implementing policies related to the NMET (Qi, 2016). Furthermore, it might be of significance for EFL teachers to get involved in policy making (Li, 2010).

Overall, we should not claim that English would affect the learning of other subjects. In fact, as expressed by the teachers in the present study, English has become a large part of the process of spreading
Chinese culture to the world. In addition, English language learning has become a necessity in the process of opening-up and enhancing contacts and exchanges with the rest of the world. The teachers also mentioned that English should be regarded as a common course similar to corresponding subjects and deserves equal attention by students as with other courses. English education should not be jeopardized by the necessity of transferring concentration to the learning of Chinese language and culture. English literacy education and Chinese learning complement each other as there may be a positive transfer of language-related cognitive skills between the mother tongue and the foreign language. The language policy reform should be concerned with how to enable students to use this language efficiently under the current social environment.

Based on the teacher interviews, English literacy learning should cultivate students’ language competence and cultural competence, while focusing on the development of students’ intercultural awareness and intercultural communicative competence. Through the study of English, students could be oriented to reflect on their native language and understand the general rules of languages, and especially to understand the relationship between language, society, and culture. Through cultural integration and exchanges, learners could better comprehend their native culture.

The teachers also suggested that, in order to realize the dream of changing China from being just a big country to becoming a big and strong country, the Chinese government should not only improve the hard power of the economy but also develop the soft power of culture as well. Learning Chinese is thus perceived as a prerequisite for promoting Chinese culture and strengthening the country’s soft power (Liu, 2011). Likewise, spreading Chinese culture to a greater breadth and depth is conducive to further bridging China with the rest of the world. However, English should be used as a tool to broaden the international influence of the Chinese language and culture as the language power of English still exists (Phillipson, 2009). Apart from Chinese learning, English literacy education still plays a certain role in laying a solid foundation for the effective promotion of Chinese soft power in the global village. It is appropriate for Chinese students to handle a foreign language so that they can better keep up with the development of the world. To address this concern, the Chinese government may need a shift in mindset and should not focus solely on enhancing language
ability in Chinese, but on establishing a bilingual educational site where English and Chinese operate alongside each other in a complementary way.

This study still has some limitations. First, due to time constraints, only one round of interviews was conducted for a limited number of teachers and students in one school. The findings of this study are thus tentative and more research involving a bigger sample and more schools is needed. Second, data collection through more sources, e.g., classroom observation, should be conducted for an in-depth understanding of teaching and learning practice. Finally, a quantitative method, e.g., by using questionnaires, can be combined with qualitative results to understand more about teachers and students' perceptions of NMET. Despite these limitations, this study sheds light upon the NMET policy reform as the perceptions of more stakeholders, including English teachers and learners, are considered. It also provides knowledge about the current practice of English literacy learning and teaching at the secondary level in China.

**APPENDIX: LIST OF SOME SAMPLE QUESTIONS FOR THE INTERVIEW**

Section 1: Sample interview questions for the students.

1. Do you like learning English? Is English study a burden to you? Why?
2. Does English learning influence your study of other subjects, like Chinese?
3. What do you think of the relationship between English study and Chinese learning? If positive, what are they? If negative, in what way?
4. Is the NMET policy suitable to your practical English learning?

Section 2: Sample interview questions for the teachers

1. Do you think it is necessary for English teachers to take part in the NMET policy making? Why?
2. Is the NMET policy suitable to your practical English teaching? Why?
3. Do you think English learning may influence the studying of Chinese? Why?
4. Have you ever intended to consider the learners' Chinese learning when planning your English teaching? Why?

REFERENCES


